



EnglishScore

Speaking Test Purpose and Content

EnglishScore

v1 May 2022

Table of contents

Table of contents	2
A. The purpose and use of EnglishScore	2
Who should take EnglishScore?	2
The meaning of EnglishScore results	3
The impact of using EnglishScore	3
Ownership of EnglishScore	3
Use of EnglishScore results	3
Recognition of EnglishScore results	4
Test delivery	4
Speaking Test	4
B. Test design	5
Test structure and model	5
Domains assessed	5
Test delivery	6
Stage 1 – The speaking assessment	6
Read Aloud	7
Listen and Repeat	9
Guided Task	11
C. Item development process	14
Test writer qualifications	14
Test writer training	14
Taking account of user needs	16
D. Scoring	17
Stage 2 – peer rating	17
E. Score Reporting	20
Pass marks	21
F. Scoring model	23
Scoring model design	23
Speaking subskills	23
Peer rating reliability	24
EnglishScore speaking descriptors	25
Contact Information	29

A. The purpose and use of EnglishScore

Who should take EnglishScore?

EnglishScore is an international assessment taken by young adult (16 and 17) and adult (18 and over) learners of English worldwide. Users may come from any language background and any region of the world.

The meaning of EnglishScore results

EnglishScore provides evidence of proficiency in understanding and using English in everyday life and the workplace.

The test is primarily concerned with the *occupational*, *public* and *personal* domains¹ with items that are more *personal* at the lowest levels of difficulty, but that focus more on the *public* and then the *occupational* domains as the difficulty level increases.

It relates to a wide range of contexts of language use² with a focus on common workplace and social contexts.

The impact of using EnglishScore

EnglishScore aims to encourage people around the world to unlock the potential of the English language by certifying their scores, helping them to prove their level to potential employers. For universities, employers and other organisations, EnglishScore provides a cost-effective means of large-scale English language testing that is used to inform professional development initiatives, course placements and recruitment efforts.

Ownership of EnglishScore

EnglishScore is owned and administered as a joint venture between the British Council (www.britishcouncil.org), the United Kingdom's international organisation for cultural relations and educational opportunities, and Blenheim Chalcot (www.blenheimchalcot.com), a digital venture builder.

Use of EnglishScore results

EnglishScore results should be used by English language learners to understand their level in relation to the CEFR, set individual language learning goals and select appropriate courses. Results can also be used by employers to benchmark the proficiency of their

¹ See Council of Europe (2001, pp.10, 14, 42–100) for information on domains.

² See Council of Europe (2001, pp.30, 101–130) for more information on communicative language competences.

Speaking Test Purpose and Content v1

workforce, by language schools as a placement measure or by other stakeholders as an index of a learner's general English proficiency.

EnglishScore results should not be used as the sole source of evidence of a person's language abilities for important, consequential decisions (such as offers of employment or entry to higher education).

Recognition of EnglishScore results

Today, over 1,000 organisations around the world, representing a diverse set of industries, use and recognise EnglishScore certificates. Employers have used EnglishScore as part of the process of recruitment and screening of potential staff and for upskilling their existing workforces. Universities have used EnglishScore as part of their admissions and placement procedures, and also as an exit credential for graduates entering the workforce.

To learn more about EnglishScore, please visit www.englishscore.com.

Test delivery

EnglishScore is an on-demand test and is administered and proctored through a mobile device. Users download an app (available on iOS and Android), register their details and then take the test on their phone. It is free to access, and results are typically delivered within 24 hours of completing the test, with the option to purchase a certificate on completion of the test. More details on proctoring and other security features are detailed in the [EnglishScore Security Report](#).

Speaking Test


The EnglishScore speaking assessment complements and supports the Core Skills Test and is designed to measure a user's speaking proficiency in everyday and workplace scenarios. It is delivered through the EnglishScore app and requires the user to complete the EnglishScore Core Skills Test first.

B. Test design

Test structure and model

Like the Core Skills Test, the EnglishScore Speaking Test is informed by the sociocognitive model of language use originating in Cyril Weir's *Language Testing and Validation* (2005). In this model, both context and cognitive validity contribute to spoken performance, and these, along with other factors such as test-taker characteristics, are considered when designing test tasks.

The EnglishScore Speaking Test is a two-stage assessment with several different item types and tasks:



The first stage assesses a user's spoken proficiency and is divided into three branches:

- Basic:** CEFR levels A2 and B1
- Mid:** CEFR levels B1 and B2
- High:** CEFR levels B2 and C1

A test-taker is assigned one of the three branches based on their performance in the EnglishScore Core Skills Test, which assesses grammar, vocabulary, listening and reading ability. This semi-adaptive approach provides both an efficient and positive testing experience for test-takers, ensuring that they are not presented with items that are too difficult or too easy for them.

The second stage requires test-takers to listen to and rate other users' responses. These ratings are then combined with automated AI scoring to produce a speaking score which is reported in the EnglishScore app and certificate. The EnglishScore Speaking scores are closely correlated with expert raters (see section 'F. Scoring model').

The test takes around 15–20 minutes to complete stage 1 and 10–15 minutes to complete stage 2.

Domains assessed

The speaking assessment tests spoken English in the personal, public and occupational domains, with an emphasis on workplace English at the higher CEFR levels. The test does

not require specialist knowledge of particular domains, and questions are based on commonly accessible, everyday and work-based topics such as conversations with colleagues, using public transport and interacting with friends and family.

As the CEFR level targeted by the item increases, the domain of the input changes: at A2 level, the input mainly relates to the *personal* domain; at B1 level, the *public* domain; and at B2 and C1 levels, the *occupational* domain. At lower levels, the input is mostly very *concrete* and *familiar*, e.g. *about where people live, people they know and things they have or common objects around them*, progressing to less familiar and more abstract topics at the higher levels, e.g. *a wide range of both familiar and unfamiliar topics normally encountered in personal, social or vocational life*.

Test delivery

EnglishScore is an on-demand test and is administered and proctored through a mobile device. Users download an app (available on iOS and Android), register their details and then take the test on their phone. It is free to access, and results are delivered within 24 hours from the end of the test, with the option to purchase a certificate. More details on proctoring and other security features are detailed in the [EnglishScore Security Report](#).

Stage 1 – the speaking assessment

This section covers Stage 1 – the speaking assessment stage. Stage 2 (the peer rating stage) is described in Section D.

Each of the three test branches in Stage 1 contains the same item types and number of items (but differs in their difficulty level):

Read Aloud: 4 items

Listen and Repeat: 4 items

Guided Task: 4 items

Within each branch, six items are targeted at the lower CEFR level, and six targeted at the higher. Each item type asks the user to give spoken responses to a prompt. Including a range of different item types helps to minimise the risk of format bias within the test.

	CEFR level	Example focus	Input length and level	Domain	Nature of info, topic familiarity
Read Aloud	A2	Read out phrase on screen	Around 10 words	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B1	Read out phrase on screen	Around 14 words	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B2	Read out phrase on screen	Around 18 words	Public and professional	Mix of concrete and abstract, Mix of familiar and unfamiliar
	C1	Read out phrase on screen	Around 22 words	Public and professional	Abstract, unfamiliar
Listen and Repeat	A2	Listen to speaker, repeat heard phrase	Around 6 words	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B1	Listen to speaker, repeat heard phrase	Around 9 words	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B2	Listen to speaker, repeat heard phrase	Around 12 words	Public and professional	Mix of concrete and abstract, Mix of familiar and unfamiliar
	C1	Listen to speaker, repeat heard phrase	Around 14 words	Public and professional	Abstract, unfamiliar
Guided Task	A2	Tell a friend about the weather	-	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B1	Give directions	-	Personal and public	Concrete, familiar
	B2	How can we help the environment at work?	-	Public and professional	Mix of concrete and abstract, Mix of familiar and unfamiliar
	C1	Talk about a problem at work	-	Public and professional	Abstract, unfamiliar

Test items for each item type are presented in approximate order of difficulty.

At the start of the test, the test-taker is asked to confirm that the microphone, speakers and camera are working as expected.

Read Aloud

In this item type, test-takers see a short sentence on screen and read it out loud. Sentence word count ranges from around 10 to 22 words. Across the three test branches, the item format is the same. The phrase required to be repeated will increase in length and complexity dependent on the CEFR level of that item.

Users must read, understand and produce the sentence, allowing assessment of a user's pronunciation and fluency in continuous spoken English.

The task instructions are given in English. All instructions for the Read Aloud are given in writing which remains on screen during the assessment. Users can re-record a response if they wish (but cannot listen to their first attempt).

Item content is prepared by item writers specially for EnglishScore (see section C). Items are written to reflect the spoken features, grammatical structures and vocabulary test-takers would encounter in the relevant domains. Writers use English Profile (www.englishprofile.org) Reference Level Descriptions³ for English to guide the choice of vocabulary and grammatical structures used in the item type.

Test steps

Table 1 shows the stages of the Read Aloud question flow for the test-taker.

Step 1: The test-taker is instructed to read the phrase on the screen and prompted to record themselves reading the phrase aloud.

Step 2: The test-taker records themselves reading the phrase aloud.

Step 3: The test-taker has the option to record themselves again or move on to the next question. Note: They are not allowed to listen to their first attempt before deciding whether to try recording again or not.

³ See Cambridge University Press (2015) for further information. English Profile helps teachers and educationalists understand what the CEFR means for English. It describes what aspects of English are typically learned at each CEFR level.

Table 1

Read Aloud question interface		
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3

Listen and Repeat

In this item type, test-takers hear a short sentence and repeat it out loud verbatim. Item word counts range from 5 to 16 words. Across the three test branches, the item format is the same. The phrase required to be repeated will increase in length and complexity dependent on the CEFR level of that item. Users can listen to the sentence twice.

Users must listen to, understand and produce the sentence, allowing assessment of a user’s pronunciation and fluency in continuous spoken English.

Test prompts are delivered in a conversational manner, using a range of different accents.

The input is prepared by item writers specially for EnglishScore (see Section C). Items are written to reflect the spoken features, grammatical structures and vocabulary test-takers would encounter in the relevant domains. Writers use English Profile

(www.englishprofile.org) Reference Level Descriptions⁴ for English to guide the choice of vocabulary and grammatical structures used in the item.

Spoken features to provide meaning are also used in the items. These features may include question forms, conditionals, uncertainty and exclamations.

Test steps

Table 2 shows the stages of the Listen and Repeat question flow for the test-taker.

Step 1: The test-taker is shown a video of a person speaking a phrase; they are able to watch this video twice. The test-taker is then prompted to record themselves (audio only) repeating the phrase.

Step 2: The test-taker records themselves repeating the phrase.

Step 3: The test-taker has the option to record themselves again or move on to the next question. Note: They are not allowed to listen to their first attempt before deciding whether to try recording again or not.

⁴ See Cambridge University Press (2015) for further information. English Profile helps teachers and educationalists understand what the CEFR means for English. It describes what aspects of English are typically learned at each CEFR level.

Table 2

Listen and Repeat question interface		
Example video phrase: 'I'll let you know when I get the exact date.'		
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3

Guided Task

In this item type, test-takers hear a short question asking for information or an opinion and are asked to respond. There are two versions of this item type: textual and visual. A textual guided task presents a question to the test-taker and asks them to respond to it for a given number of seconds depending on level. A visual guided task is similar, but there is also graphical input such as an image or a table to guide the response. As they are giving their response, written prompts on screen remind the test-taker of the topic and questions

This task type assesses extended speaking turns, measuring overall comprehensibility and task achievement, such as providing relevant and supporting detail in responses.

Test prompts are delivered in a conversational manner, using a range of different accents.

The input is prepared by item writers specially for EnglishScore (see Section C). Items are written to reflect the topics and vocabulary test-takers would encounter in the relevant

domains. Writers use English Profile (www.englishprofile.org) Reference Level Descriptions⁵ for English to guide the choice of vocabulary and grammatical structures used in the item.

Test steps

Tables 3 and 4 show the stages of the Guided Task question flow for the test-taker.

Step 1: The test-taker is shown a video of a person prompting them to do something. They can watch this video twice.

Step 2: Where appropriate, the test-taker is shown reference material to use in completing the task and prompted to record their reply.

Step 3: The test-taker records themselves replying. A maximum talking time is enforced for this question type. A2–B1 = 30 seconds, B2–C1 = 45 seconds.





Step 4: The test-taker has the option to record themselves again or move on to the next question. Note: They are not allowed to listen to their first attempt before deciding whether to try recording again or not.

Table 3

Guided Task textual question interface			
Example video script: 'We have lots of historic buildings in my town. Do you think it's important to protect historic buildings?'			
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4

⁵ See Cambridge University Press (2015) for further information. English Profile helps teachers and educationalists understand what the CEFR means for English. It describes what aspects of English are typically learned at each CEFR level.

Table 4

Guided Task visual question interface			
Example video script: 'I went shopping yesterday and bought a new bag. What was the last thing you bought?'			
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3	Step 4
 <p>3:19 Speaking: Part 3 27:53</p> <p>Watch the video</p> <p>Listen to the speaker then answer their question</p> <p>Hold and record your answer <small>You can try twice</small></p>	 <p>3:19 Speaking: Part 3 27:53</p> <p>Listen to the speaker 1 play left</p> <p>What was the last thing you bought? Why?</p> <p>Hold and record your answer <small>You can try twice</small></p>	 <p>3:19 Speaking: Part 3 27:53</p> <p>Listen to the speaker 1 play left</p> <p>What was the last thing you bought? Why?</p> <p>0.24</p>	 <p>3:19 Speaking: Part 3 27:53</p> <p>Listen to the speaker 1 play left</p> <p>What was the last thing you bought? Why?</p> <p>Try again? <small>You have 1 more try</small></p> <p>Next</p>

C. Item development process

Test writer qualifications

EnglishScore writers are teachers of English with a teaching qualification such as a Masters' Degree or Diploma in English Language Teaching and a minimum of five years' experience as teachers of English. They are also familiar with the CEFR and able to write items to the different CEFR levels. Before being accepted for training, writers complete a qualifying item writing task.

Test writer training

All writers are given a half-day induction programme to the test, where they are introduced to the test specifications and practise writing assessment material. Writers regularly participate in review meetings and are required to complete a training course every three years to continue working as contributors to the EnglishScore assessment.


Test writer guidance

To ensure that the content follows the developers' intentions and to ensure that it is parallel across different versions of EnglishScore, when preparing material, the writers follow detailed writer guidelines for each section of the test. These include examples of good (and poor) tasks, wordlists, lists of grammatical structures and guidance on features such as text and recording length, rates of speech and complexity. There are self-assessment checklists that writers use to confirm that their work conforms to the guidelines before they submit it. Item writers are also instructed to use recognised databases to ensure vocabulary and grammatical structures are at the appropriate level for the item. Additional training and feedback are provided to item writers as needed, and reviews are included in the item development process.

Test material development process

Test items are developed by a team of trained item writers in a series of item commissions throughout the year. To ensure the quality of items and the test as a whole, there is a standardised set of procedures that all items go through. This helps to ensure that test quality is maintained and that the test results are valid, reliable and fair. To ensure consistency, the process mirrors that used for the EnglishScore Core Skills Test item development.

An overview of the item development process is provided below:



Notes

- At any stage of the item development process, material may be accepted for the next stage, edited, returned to the writer for revision or rejected.
- Items are reviewed by item writers individually and as a panel and evaluated against the test specifications, assessing aspects such as item content, CEFR level and word count.
- All review decisions and feedback during the process are securely recorded for reference at a later date if needed.
- Final checks include an editorial review for proofreading and style checks, before being ingested into the CMS and individually reviewed and activated by the EnglishScore Assessment Manager.

Once the items are live, weekly checks are conducted to ensure the items are performing as expected. Any items that fall outside the quality parameters are flagged, deactivated and removed from the test.

Item bank and test security

All the test items are stored in a secure item bank, which includes the item content, item media and metadata (level, skill etc.). Items from this database are selected to create a large number of unique test forms, following the template outlined in Section B. The item bank is large enough to ensure there is minimal repetition of items across multiple test attempts by the same user. This helps to maintain the security of the item bank, as well as the validity and reliability of the test.

The item bank and associated CMS are maintained by EnglishScore. Access to the item bank is restricted and controlled through a username and password. All changes to item content are logged with date/time/username, with access permissions regularly reviewed.

Additional details on test security are available in the *EnglishScore Security Report*.

Taking account of user needs

EnglishScore takes account of the diversity of the test-taking population by collecting data about their location and by asking users about their motivation for learning English.

The test material is designed for adult learners of English (aged 16 and over) and aims to avoid any bias associated with gender, nationality or ethnic identity. These issues are addressed in the guidelines for item writers and considered as part of the review process. In addition, items are checked to ensure that they do not include controversial topics, do not require specialist knowledge, and that they are culturally neutral, i.e. do not require knowledge of a particular culture or country to be answered correctly. This ensures test fairness for all users around the world. The test interface is designed to be accessible to colourblind users.

D. Scoring

The EnglishScore Speaking Test is designed to be an accurate, reliable measure of a user's speaking ability in the global workplace. To achieve this, we use a blend of automated scoring and peer rating to calculate a speaking score that reflects how well a user can communicate with people from a variety of different backgrounds, cultures and English language levels.

Read Aloud and Listen and Repeat items are scored using a technical solution, and the Guided Task items are scored using peer ratings:

Read Aloud: marking

This item type is evaluated by automated AI scoring for:

- Pronunciation – phoneme and stress accuracy
- Fluency – rate of speech and pausing.

Listen and Repeat: marking

This item type is evaluated by automated AI scoring for:

- Pronunciation – phoneme and stress accuracy
- Fluency – rate of speech and pausing.

Guided Task: marking

This item type is evaluated by peer markers for:

- Task achievement
- Overall comprehensibility.

Stage 2 – peer rating

Stage 2 of the assessment asks users to rate other test-takers' Guided Task responses. A user's test is marked by approximately 16 unique peers, all at similar proficiency levels. Sourcing a wide range of peer opinions reduces bias and ensures a more accurate and reliable measure of a test-taker's proficiency. In addition, EnglishScore regularly reviews peer rater reliability through controlled sittings to ensure that peer raters are scoring consistently.

Test-takers will peer-mark other test-takers from the same test branch, e.g. a test-taker who completed the 'mid-level' branch will mark other test-takers who completed the same branch, but not the low or high branch.

Test-takers peer-mark the Guided Task question types only. The Read Aloud and Listen and Repeat item types are scored using automated AI scoring.

Rating steps

Tables 5 and 6 show the stages of peer rating for the test-taker.

Step 1: The rater can listen to a user's response to a Guided Task. They can listen to this as many times as they wish. The first rating question relates to task completion – which is a binary or trinary question, depending on the item.

Step 2: The second rating question asks the rater to choose from a 5-star scale for clarity.

Step 3: The third question asks the rater how well the user did on a 5-star scale.


On each rating screen, the rater can listen to the response as many times as they need to.

Guided Task visual rating interface		
Example item prompt: 'We have lots of historic buildings in my town. Do you think it's important to protect historic buildings?'		
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3

Guided Task visual rating interface		
Example video script: 'I went shopping yesterday and bought a new bag. What was the last thing you bought?'		
Step 1	Step 2	Step 3

Flagged items while peer scoring

During the peer rating, users have the option to flag items that they feel they can't score. This may be because the audio quality is too poor, the content is inappropriate, or some other reason (see image below). If a response is flagged, the item is skipped and the rater is presented with the next item.



E. Score reporting

On completing the EnglishScore Speaking Test, the user is provided with an onscreen report stating their overall 'EnglishScore' speaking score, with separate score breakdowns for pronunciation, fluency and communication.

Estimated correspondences to CEFR level and estimates of correspondences to IELTS scores and the Cambridge English Scale are also provided.

EnglishScore range	CEFR level
0–199	Below A2
200–299	A2
300–399	B1
400–499	B2
500–599	C1

Users have the option of purchasing a certificate as a record of their score. Each certificate includes the user's name, a photograph of the user taken during the administration, a verification ID for use by employers or other score users and scores for overall speaking and the subskills (pronunciation, fluency and communication).

This is an example Speaking Test certificate:



Pass marks

There are no pass marks for EnglishScore. Scores are reported in relation to the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) from A2 to C1. Estimates of a user's CEFR level are based on their success in responding to material targeting each level. Further work will be undertaken to set standards in relation to the CEFR and to performance in other tests.

EnglishScore scale

The EnglishScore is a numeric, granular scale which measures English language proficiency from 0 to 599. It builds on the Common European Framework of Reference (CEFR) by showing finer gradations within a learner's CEFR level and can therefore help to measure gradual improvements in a test-taker's English level across the different skills. As well as providing useful and motivating feedback to test-takers, it also gives teachers and other decision makers a more detailed understanding of test-takers' strengths and weaknesses.

Time for results

Speaking score results are typically reported within 24 hours of a test-taker completing their peer rating.

Reporting

At the end of the test, the user's speaking ability is reported as a speaking score from 0 to 599 on the EnglishScore scale, as well as the corresponding CEFR level. In addition, a breakdown of speaking subskills (pronunciation, fluency and communication) is also provided, as well as a set of can-do statements to provide additional context to the reported test score.

F. Scoring model

The EnglishScore Speaking Test scoring model consists of two components:

- Automated scoring using AI technology – this recognises speech patterns of test-takers and scores different traits such as phoneme accuracy, stress, rate of speech and pausing ratios
- Peer scoring – test users score each other on different attributes, including task completion and overall comprehensibility.

Both data sources feed into the scoring model to give an overall speaking score, plus subskill scores for pronunciation, fluency and communication.

Scoring model design

A key principle for the scoring model was to ensure alignment with expert raters, i.e. the EnglishScore speaking model is designed to score as an expert human rater would. As part of the scoring model development, over 8,000 spoken responses were collected from a range of test-takers at different CEFR levels and from different countries around the world. These responses were then rated by expert markers to provide scores used to build the scoring model.

To provide the expert scores, a group of experienced speaking raters were recruited, trained and certified to use the EnglishScore speaking descriptors. Each spoken response was then rated independently by at least two experts for pronunciation, fluency and task achievement. An average of the two ratings was then used to build the scoring model. Where the two rater scores were significantly different, a third rating from a senior examiner was used to determine the final score. As part of the rating activity, the raters were given calibration tasks, and spot checks were carried out.

The robustness of the scoring model was evaluated by comparing the correlation coefficient with the expert raters. The model went through several iterations, combining and weighting a range of automated AI scoring and peer ratings to arrive at a model that correlates strongly with experts. The current model has a strong correlation of between 0.797 and 0.80, and future versions of the scoring model will continue to improve on this.

Speaking subskills

As well as an overall score, subskills are also reported in the app and on the certificate. These provide a more detailed breakdown of a test-taker's strengths and weaknesses.

Pronunciation – can the user produce speech that is easily understandable to most speakers of the language? There is no particular desired accent; the only criterion is that it should be globally comprehensible. Factors such as appropriate phoneme pronunciation and use of appropriate stress lead to a higher score in this subskill.

Fluency – can the user produce speech that is smooth and at a natural speed? Factors such as a constant rate of speech with appropriate pausing will lead to a good score in this domain.

Communication – can the user produce an answer that is relevant to the prompt and contains additional detail and supplementary information where appropriate?

Peer rating reliability

The scoring model relies on inputs from other test-takers or 'peers', which is then combined with automated AI scoring. The purpose of using peers in the scoring is twofold: automated scoring cannot currently assess task completion and overall spoken production to a reliable and accurate degree, and peer rating reflects what users are expected to do in a real-world workplace setting.

As part of the test design and scoring model, there are several features to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the peer rating:

1. Peer raters will only assess other users in the same branch – this means they are approximately the same English level, which helps to reduce bias when scoring.
2. Peer rating questions are straightforward – the language used in the peer rating questions is at A2 or lower, meaning they are understood by users. Required responses are also straightforward and easily understood – either yes/no questions or a 5-star rating.
3. A minimum of 16 raters are used to derive a test-taker's score. This reduces bias and interrater variability, in contrast to many human-rated speaking tests that may only rely on 1 or 2 raters.
4. As part of the test trialling and score model build, many controlled test sittings were used to ensure reliability and consistency. This involved the tests being repeatedly scored multiple times a day by different peers and looking at the reported scores. At all branches, the scores did not vary by more than 10–20 EnglishScore points.

Note: This report will be periodically updated with additional statistical validation as part of our ongoing commitment to improving and developing EnglishScore.

EnglishScore speaking descriptors

EnglishScore speaking descriptors v1.0					
Pronunciation [intelligibility, clarity and prosodic features]		Fluency [speed, hesitations and pauses]		Task Achievement [task attempts and relevance]	
6 PROFICIENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is immediately and clearly understood. All vowels and consonants are generally produced with clarity and precision with appropriate assimilations. Prosodic features such as word and sentence stress, intonation and rhythm are used appropriately and to convey finer shades of meaning. 	6 PROFICIENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is smooth, with natural pacing. There are minimal hesitations, repetitions or false starts. Any pauses, repetitions or false starts are related to accessing ideas and not language. 	6 PROFICIENT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts all parts of the task in full. Responses are fully relevant and appropriately extended and/or developed.
5 ADVANCED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is generally clearly understood. Where there are errors, these do not affect intelligibility. Vowels and consonant sounds are produced clearly. Stress is placed correctly in all high-frequency words, and sentence level stress is sometimes used to convey meaning. 	5 ADVANCED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is generally smooth, with acceptable pacing. There may be a few hesitations, repetitions or false starts. Pauses are infrequent and unlikely to be language-related. 	5 ADVANCED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts all parts of the task. Responses are generally relevant and appropriately developed.
4 GOOD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some systematic errors in sounds might render a few words unclear and may affect intelligibility. Most vowels and consonants are produced correctly. There is some use of prosodic features, such as stress and intonation 	4 GOOD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech has acceptable speed but may be uneven in patches. There may be some hesitations, but most words are spoken in continuous stretches of speech. There are few repetitions or false starts. 	4 GOOD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts all parts of the task. Most of the responses are relevant and appropriately developed, though there may be some ambiguity.

Speaking Test Purpose and Content v1

	to convey meaning, but not consistently.		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech has no long pauses and generally sounds connected. 		
3 INTERMEDIATE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Most of the speech is intelligible, but the listener may at times require effort to understand the speaker. Some consonants and vowels are systematically mispronounced. Prosodic features are present, but not always appropriately. Stress may be placed incorrectly in some words, and/or intonation may be inappropriate, which can cause confusion for the listener. 	3 INTERMEDIATE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech may have uneven pacing and/or staccato at times, which might be distracting for the listener. Extended utterances may have some smooth multiple-word runs, although hesitations, repetitions or false starts are also present. Extended utterances may have some long pauses. 	3 INTERMEDIATE	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts most of the tasks, though some of the minor aspects of the task may not be attempted. Responses are mostly relevant and developed to some extent, though they may not always be relevant or can be ambiguous.
2 BASIC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The listener may have difficulty understanding between a third and a half of speech. Many consonants and vowels are systematically mispronounced. Use of stress, intonation and rhythm may be inappropriate and cause strain for the listener. 	2 BASIC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is slow and has irregular pacing, which can cause strain for the listener. Speech is uneven with poor grouping, staccato speech and multiple hesitations, repetitions and/or false starts. Extended utterances may have noticeably long pauses. 	2 BASIC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Attempts some tasks but in a limited way. Responses may not be relevant or developed beyond simple explanations.
1 LIMITED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The listener may find most of the speech unintelligible. Most consonants and vowels are mispronounced or omitted, which causes severe strain for the listener. Use of stress, intonation and rhythm is largely inappropriate. Several words may have the wrong number of syllables. There is little to no control of intonation or rhythm. 	1 LIMITED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Speech is very slow, with little grouping of words, which makes the message difficult to follow. There are multiple hesitations, pauses, false starts and/or reformulations. Most words are produced in isolation, and there may be multiple long pauses. 	1 LIMITED	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> May attempt some parts of the task, but done very simply. Responses may be tangential or unrelated due to incomprehension of the task or a lack of language.

Speaking Test Purpose and Content v1

<p>0 NO EVIDENCE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No language or only a few isolated words produced. • Response is completely off-topic, non-English or unintelligible. • Poor audio quality means response cannot be scored. 	<p>0 NO EVIDENCE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No language, or only a few isolated words produced. • Response is completely off-topic, non-English or unintelligible. • Speech is too short to reliably assess fluency. • Poor audio quality means response cannot be scored. 	<p>0 NO EVIDENCE</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No language, or only a few isolated words produced. • Response is completely off-topic, non-English or unintelligible. • Poor audio quality means response cannot be scored.
<p>Note Speech should fully match the descriptor to be awarded the corresponding score. Where a response does not meet all parts of the descriptor, the lower score should be given.</p>					

Contact information

About the British Council

The British Council builds connections, understanding and trust between people in the UK and other countries through arts and culture, education and the English language.

We work in two ways – directly with individuals to transform their lives, and with governments and partners to make a bigger difference for the longer term, creating benefit for millions of people all over the world.

We help young people to gain the skills, confidence and connections they are looking for to realise their potential and to participate in strong and inclusive communities. We support them to learn English, to get a high-quality education and to gain internationally recognised qualifications. Our work in arts and culture stimulates creative expression and exchange and nurtures creative enterprise.

We connect the best of the UK with the world and the best of the world with the UK. These connections lead to an understanding of each other's strengths and of the challenges and values that we share. This builds trust between people in the UK and other nations which endures even when official relations may be strained.

We work on the ground in more than 100 countries. In 2019–20, we connected with 80 million people directly and with 791 million overall, including online and through our broadcasts and publications.

Contact EnglishScore

For questions about the test, including content development, test scoring, security or certification, please contact:

EnglishScore
Scale Space
58 Wood Lane
London W12 7RZ
United Kingdom
contact@englishscore.com

References

Cambridge University Press, 2015. *Reference Level Descriptions* [Online]. Available from: <http://englishprofile.org/the-cefr/reference-level-descriptions> [Accessed 13 May 2021].

Council of Europe, 2001. *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, teaching, assessment* [Online]. Strasbourg: Council of Europe. Available from: rm.coe.int/1680459f97 [Accessed 13 May 2021].